28

1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 MICHAEL J. HICKS, No. C 12-2207 SI (pr) 9 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION 10 v. 11 LINDA NEAL; et al., 12 Defendants. 13 14 Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the order denying his request for a preliminary 15 injunction is DENIED. (Docket # 10.) Plaintiff has not presented newly discovered evidence, 16 showed that the court committed clear error, or identified an intervening change in the law that 17 affects this case. See McDowell v. Calderon, 197 F.3d 1253, 1255 (9th Cir. 1999) (en banc). 18 The court also rejects plaintiff' request (on page 4 of his motion) that the court invite attorney 19 Bien and Dr. Metzner to contact the court for an *ex parte* communication. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 Dated: September 24, 2012 22 United States District Judge 23 24 25 26 27